

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/790,867	03/03/2004	Hugues Cheron	111393.01	3122		
25944	7590 09/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			NEGRON, ISMAEL			
P.O. BOX 199	928					
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2875			

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/790,867	CHERON ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ismael Negron	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) a	as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendment canceling th	е		
7. So For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			d		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
·					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly proposed Claim 1 defines the PLASTIC outside skin of the bumper as forming the light enabling GLASS, such recitation being indefinite as glass and plastic are two different materials. A plastic outside skin can not form the claimed glass. If, however, the claim is interpreted as defining the "glass" as being attached (emphasis added) to the outside skin and not integrally made, such modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made (as detailed in section 3 and 6 of the previous Office Action).

THOMAS M. SEMBER PRIMARY EXAMINER